# Milk Sanitation Honor Roll for 1956-57

Sixty-four communities have been added to the Public Health Service milk sanitation "honor roll," and 45 communities on the previous list have been dropped. This revision covers the period from January 1, 1956, to December 31, 1957, and includes a total of 266 cities and 70 counties.

Communities on the "honor roll" have complied substantially with the various items of sanitation contained in the milk ordinance suggested by the U.S. Public Health Service. The State milk sanitation authorities concerned report this compliance to the Public Health Service. The rating of 90 percent or more, which is necessary for inclusion on the list, is computed from the weighted average of the percentages of compliance. Separate lists are compiled for communities in which all market milk sold is pasteurized, and for those in which both raw milk and pasteurized milk is sold.

The suggested milk ordinance, on which the milk sanitation ratings are based, is now in effect through This compilation is from the Division of Sanitary Engineering Services of the Bureau of State Services, Public Health Service. The previous listing, with a summary of rules under which a community is included, was published in Public Health Reports, October 1957, pp. 943–946. The rating method was described in Public Health Reports 53: 1386 (1938). Reprint No. 1970.

voluntary adoption in 477 counties and 1,398 municipalities. The ordinance also serves as the basis for the regulations of 34 States and 2 Territories. In 14 States and 2 Territories it is in effect statewide.

The ratings do not represent a complete measure of safety, but they do indicate how closely a community's milk supply conforms with the standards for grade A milk as

stated in the suggested ordinance. High-grade pasteurized milk is safer than high-grade raw milk because of the added protection of pasteurization. The second list, therefore, shows the percentage of pasteurized milk sold in a community which also permits the sale of raw milk.

Although semiannual publication of the list is intended to encourage communities operating under the suggested ordinance to attain and maintain a high level of enforcement of its provisions, no comparison is intended with communities operating under other milk ordinances. Some communities might be deserving of inclusion, but they cannot be listed because no arrangements have been made for determination of their ratings by the State milk sanitation authority concerned. In other cases, the ratings which were submitted have lapsed because they were more than 2 years old. Still other communities, some of which may have high-grade milk supplies, have indicated no desire for rating or inclusion on this list.

#### Communities awarded milk sanitation ratings of 90 percent or more, 1956–57

100 PERCENT OF MARKET MILK PASTEURIZED

Community 1	Date of rating	Community	Date of rating	Community	Date of rating
Arizona		Georgia		Georgia—Continued	
Graham County	10-16-1956	Albany	11-22-1957	Dalton-Whitfield	
Phoenix	21957	Athens-Clarke Count	y_ 4- 2-1957	County	5-21-1957
Colorado		AtlantaAugusta-Richmond	8-23-1957	Douglas	
Boulder County	12-14-1956	County	11- 9-1956	La Grange	
Colorado Springs	1-19-1956	Bainbridge	1-19-1956	Moultrie	5-22-1957
Denver	8-27-1957	Baxley	8-14-1956	Quitman	5- 8-1957
Pueblo County	2- 2-1956	Calhoun-Gordon		Savannah-Chatham	
District of Columbia		CountyCartersville		CountyStatesboro-Bulloch	9-25-1956
Washington	3-12-1956	Columbus	1-18-1957	County	3-27-1957

## Communities awarded milk sanitation ratings of 90 percent or more, 1956–57—Continued

100 PERCENT OF MARKET MILK PASTEURIZED—Continued

Community 1	Date of rating	Community	Date of rating	Community I	Date of rating
Georgia—Continued		Indiana—Continued		Kentucky—Continued	
Valdosta	4-18-1956	Vincennes	10- 3-1957	Trigg County	10- 5-1956
Waycross	8-30-1956	Warsaw	11-16-1956	Union County	
•		Winchester	5- 7-1954		
Idaho				Mississippi	
Idaho Falls	6-13-1956	Kentuck	/	Booneville	8-28-1957
		Anderson County		Canton	11-14-1956
Illinois		Barbourville	11-28-1956	Clarksdale	
Chicago	6-13-1957	Bardstown-Nelson		Columbus	
Evanston	3-13-1957	County		Corinth	
North Shore municipal-		Bell County		Eupora	2-23-1956
ities	3-20-1957	Benton		Greenwood	4-25-1956
Glencoe		Bowling Green-Warre		Grenada	
Highland Park		County		Hernando	1- 7-1957
${f Kenilworth}$		Brandenburg		Houston	
Lake Bluff		Breckinridge County		Iuka	
Lake Forest		Cadiz		Laurel	
Northbrook		Campbellsville		Louisville	
${f Wilmette}$		Covington		McComb	
Winnetka		Eddyville	6- 5-1956	Meadville	3- 7-1957
Oak Park	3- 6-1957	Falmouth		Meridian	
		Frankfort		Morton	
Indiana		Georgetown		New Albany	
Anderson	5-22-1957	Greenville		Oxford	
Berne, Bluffton, Warren		Hardinsburg		Starkville	
area		Harrodsburg		State College	
Calumet region		Hodgensville		Tupelo	4- 9-1957
East Chicago		Hopkinsville-Christian		Missouri	
Gary		County			F 10 1050
Hammond		Lawrenceburg	5-17-1956	Cape Girardeau	
Columbia City	6-26-1957	Leitchfield-Grayson		Chillicothe	
Elkhart, Goshen, Nap-	•	County	10–10–1957	Fulton	
panee area		Liberty	10–11–1956	Kansas City	
Evansville	12-20-1956	Louisville-Jefferson		St. Joseph St. Louis County	
Frankfort	6-10-1957	County	4-19-1956	Sedalia	
Green castle		Mayfield-Graves		Springfield	
Indianapolis-Marion	l	County	8 <b>- 2</b> -1957	Springheid	10-20-1930
County		Maysville		Nevada	
Kokomo		Monticello		Ch l III l l l l l l l	
Lafayette				Clark, Lincoln, and Nye	
Lake County		Morgantown		Counties	5- 1-1957
La Porte		Murray	3–16–1956	New Mexico	
Muncie		Newport-Campbell		Albuquerque	10-26-1056
New Castle		County		Portales.	
North Manchester		Owensboro	5-17-1956	1 01 vaics	. 0-20-1900
Peru		Paducah	7-31-1957	North Carolina	
Richmond		Paris-Bourbon Count	y <sub>-</sub> 5- 3-1956		3-15-1957
Rochester		Russellville	11- 7-1956	Alamance County Beaufort County	
Salem		Smithland		Camden County	
South Bend		Spencer County		Charlotte	
Union City	. 7- 3-1957	phenoer County	0- 1-1990	CHAILOUG	. 0 1-1900

280 Public Health Reports

## Communities awarded milk sanitation ratings of 90 percent or more, 1956–57—Continued

100 PERCENT OF MARKET MILK PASTEURIZED—Continued

Community	Date of rating	Community	Date of rating	Community	Date of rating
North Carolina—Continued		${\it Tennessee}$ —Continued		Utah	
Chatham County	8-13-1957	Decherd	11-20-1956	Logan	5- 4-1956
Chowan County	7- 5-1956	Dyersburg	11-14-1956	Salt Lake City	2-10-1956
Craven County	8-30-1957	Fayetteville	6- 7-1956		
Cumberland County	. 3-16-1956	Franklin		Virginia	
Durham County	8- 7-1956	Greeneville	6-19-1956	Alexandria	_ 6-28-1957
Edgecombe County	10- 5-1956	Humboldt		Blacksburg	
Forsyth County		Huntingdon	10-29-1956	Bristol	
Guilford County	9-26-1956	Jackson	6-20-1956	Christiansburg	
Halifax County	9-13-1957	Jefferson City	8-20-1956	Franklin	
Jackson County		Knoxville-Knox		Marion	
Lee County		County		Norfolk	
Lenoir County		Livingston		Portsmouth	
Macon County		Loudon		Pulaski	
Montgomery County		Manchester		Radford	
Nash County		Memphis		Richmond	
New Hanover County		Milan		Roanoke	
Northampton County		Morristown		South Boston	
Onslow County		Murfreesboro	8-14-1957	Staunton	
Orange County		Nashville-Davidson		Suffolk	
Pamlico County		County		Waynesboro	12- 5-1957
Pasquotank County		Newbern		*** 1.	
Perquimans County		Paris		Washington	1 10 04 1070
Person County		Shelbyville		Spokane	10-24-1936
Rowan County		Sparta		Whitman County	_ 11- 8-1956
Sampson County	8-27-1956	Sweetwater		Wisconsin	
Scotland County	5-30-1956	Tullahoma		Appleton	
Stanly County	12-11-1956	Winchester	. 11-20-1956	Ashland	10-10-1956
Swain County		Texas		Beaver Dam	2- 6-1957
		Brady	6-26-1957	Burlington	10-24-1956
Ohio		Brownwood		Delavan	10-24-1956
Lima	101957	Cleburne		Dodgeville	5-21-1956
011.1		Dallas		Eau Claire	
Oklahoma	4 10 1050	Falfurrias		Elkhorn	10-24-1956
Ardmore		Gladewater		Fontana	10-24-1956
Bartlesville		Gonzales		Fort Atkinson	10-24-1956
Guthrie	5-22-1956	Harlingen		Green Bay	
Okmulgee	5- 8-1956	Houston		Kenosha	
Sulphur	2- 9-1956	Jacksonville		La Crosse	
Tahlequah		Kerrville	4-11-1957		
Tulsa		Kilgore	2-19-1957	Lake Geneva	
T disa	0 21 1001	Mineral Wells	6-21-1957	Manitowoc	
Tennessee		New Braunfels	1-31-1957	Milwaukee	6- 8-1956
Bristol	11 - 7 - 1957	Plainview	6- 2-1956	Oshkosh	7-11-1956
Chattanooga		San Angelo	8-8-1957	Racine	7-12-1956
Clinton		San Antonio		Ripon	
Columbia		San Benito		Sheboygan	
		Texarkana		Walworth	
Cookeville		Tyler			
Copperhill		Vernon		Waupun	
Cowan	11-20-1956	Wichita Falls	2-19-1957	Williams Bay	10-24-1956

#### Communities awarded milk sanitation ratings of 90 percent or more, 1956–57—Continued

#### BOTH RAW AND PASTEURIZED MARKET MILK

Community and percent of milk pasteurized	Date of rating	Community and percent of milk pasteurized	Date of rating	Community and percent Date of of milk pasteurized rating	
Georgia		North Carolina		${\it Texas}$ —Continued	
Washington, 99.8	4-11-1957 10-26-1956 5- 3-1956 10-16-1957 5- 3-1956 3- 1-1957 3- 7-1957	Cleveland County, 89.9_ Gaston County, 97.9  Oklahoma  Elk City, 99  Henryetta, 80.7  McAlester, 84  Norman, 99  Oklahoma City, 98  Ponca City, 96.6	4-30-1956 4-17-1956 7-18-1956 1-16-1956 11- 9-1956	Fort Worth, 99.98 6-14-1957 Longview, 99 2-20-1957 Lubbock, 99.4 6-14-1956 Marshall, 98 1- 4-1957 Palestine, 99.2 10- 2-1957 Paris, 99 1-23-1957 Waco, 99.76 3-19-1956  Virginia Charlottesville, 99.6 9-27-1957	
Princeton, 96.5	1-25-1957 2-21-1957 1-10-1957	Tennessee  McMinnville, 98.3  Texas  Abilene, 90  Austin, 99.4  Brenham, 94  Brownsville, 98.3	5-15-1956 10-10-1957 1-28-1957 6-13-1956	Washington  Seattle-King County, 99.7	

Note: In these communities the pasteurized market milk shows a 90 percent or more compliance with the grade A pasteurized milk requirements, and the raw market milk shows a 90 percent or more compli-

ance with the grade A raw milk requirements, of the milk ordinance suggested by the United States Public Health Service.

Note particularly the percentage of the milk pasteurized in the vari-

ous communities listed. This percentage is an important factor to consider in estimating the safety of a city's milk supply. All milk should be pasteurized, either commercially or at home, before it is consumed.

## Radiation Detection Through Hair-Root Changes

The amount of radiation a person has received may be measured by examining the hairs from his scalp if his head has been exposed, according to Dr. E. J. Scott and Dr. R. P. Reinertson of the National Cancer Institute, Public Health Service, who reported their findings in the September issue of the *Journal of Investigative Dermatology*.

The paper, "Detection of Radiation Effects on Hair Roots of the Human Scalp," describes a study of the hair roots of cancer patients undergoing radiation therapy at the National Cancer Institute.

As early as 4 days following radiation, abnormal changes, such as progressive thinning of the hair roots, were observed.